

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,006	07/25/2000	Amir Herzberg	6727/OH449	7174
7:	590 05/16/2003			
S Peter Ludwig			EXAMINER	
Darby & Darby P C 805 Third Avenue			ABDI, KAMBIZ	
New York, NY	10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/625,006	HERZBERG ET AL.
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Kambiz Abdi	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.</li> <li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
<u> </u>		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	••	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate,-timely-filed-amendment-
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an work appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	JAMES P. TRAMMELL
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants arguments are not persuasive in light of the changes that has been made and put forward in the claim language. The claim language has not been changed in a manner that puts the claimed invention in an allowable position. Applicant has canceled dependent claims 7, 8, 10, and 22 and has incorporated them in the independent claims. The previous office actions have consequently addressed the issues of all the claims that has been presented in this amendment therefore the presented claimed invention as has been put forward and in their current form do not merrit alowability of said amended claims at this point in th prosecution..